



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,101	10/603,101 06/24		Yasuhiro Oki	U014693-7	1661
140	7590	03/25/2004		EXAM	INER
LADAS &		_	SHAH, MANISH S		
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2853	
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/603,101	OKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Manish S. Shah	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 June 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
, ,	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15,26 and 27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an 	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the condition. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No. <u>10/103,661</u> . received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/03.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The examiner suggests that applicants include the structural formula of the compound of formula (1) in the abstract.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 26 is depend on claim 16, and claim 16 is cancel in preliminary amendment submitted on 06/24/2003. So claim 26 should be cancel also. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 12-15 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a hydrazide compound of the formulas R⁵CXNHNR⁶R⁷, R⁵SO₂NHNR⁶R⁷, and R⁵NHCXNHNR⁶R⁷ (wherein the R groups and the X group are defined in the claims), does not reasonably provide enablement for hydrazide type compounds. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims recite hydrazide group compounds. This encompasses any compound containing a hydrazide group. However, the specification only teaches the use of three-hydrazide group containing compounds. Such a limited disclosure does not support the breadth of the instant claims.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claims 12-15 & 27 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-8 & 31-34 of copending Application No. 10/103,651. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap the copending application claims and would be obvious thereby.
- 5. Claims 12-15 & 27 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-15 & 29 of

Application/Control Number: 10/603,101

Art Unit: 2853

copending Application No. 10/103,661. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap the copending application claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) Taguchi (# JP 11-170686) discloses the image recording material including ink absorbing layer on a ink jet recording sheet, which contains a compound represented by the formula as shown below (see Abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/603,101

Art Unit: 2853

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Examiner Art Unit 2853 Page 5

MSS 3/15/04